

WILBERT O. FISH, et ux * NO. 29,519 EQUITY
 vs. * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR
 HALL E. SAYLOR, et ux * FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND



* * * * *


ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Hall E. Saylor and Erma R. Saylor, his wife, Respondents, by Mary E. Storm, their attorney, for answer to the Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment heretofore filed against them, say:

That said Motion for Summary Judgment in this case should not be granted due to the fact that there is a genuine dispute between the parties as to material facts as evidenced by the Respondents' answer to this suit, and further evidenced by the affidavit by Mrs. Lois K. Rhoderick, which is attached hereto and intended to be a part hereof.


WHEREFORE, Respondents request that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied.


 Hall E. Saylor

 Erma R. Saylor


 Mary E. Storm
 Attorney for Respondents
 114-A West Church Street
 Frederick, Maryland 21701
 Telephone: 301-662-8266

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of November, 1979, a copy of the foregoing Answer to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment was mailed, postage prepaid, to Peyton Paul Phillips, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiffs, P.O. Box 688, Frederick, Maryland 21701.


 Mary E. Storm

Filed Nov. 13, 1979